1	MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney		
2	J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) Acting Chief, Criminal Division		
4	GARTH HIRE (CABN 187330) Assistant United States Attorney		
5	1301 Clay Street, Suite 340-S Oakland, California 94612-5217 Telephone: (510) 637-3929		
6			
7	Facsimile: (510) 637-3724 E-Mail: Garth.Hire@usdoj.gov		
8			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	OAKLAND DIVISION		
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 09-00775 SBA		
14	Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]		
15	v. ORDER CONTINUING STATUS CONFERENCE AND EXCLUDING TIME		
16	JUAN OCTAVIANO LOPEZ,		
17	aka Juan Octavio Lopez Ortiz,) aka Juan Lopez Gomez,)		
18	aka Manuel Vargas, and) GLENDY GOMEZ,)		
19	aka Glendy Elizabeth Gomez,)		
20	Defendants.)		
21			
22	Plaintiff, by and through its attorney of record, and defendants, by and through their		
23	counsel of record, hereby stipulate and ask the Court to find as follows:		
24	1. A status conference in this matter is currently scheduled for 10 a.m. on Tuesday,		
25	November 30, 2010, before United States Magistrate Judge Laurel Beeler.		
26	2. The parties request that this hearing be continued until 10 a.m. on Wednesday,		
27	January 26, 2011, before United States Magistrate Judge Donna M. Ryu, in order to provide		
28	defendants' counsel with additional time to evaluate the evidence in this case and determine		
_5			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER RESCHEDULING HEARING; EXCLUDING TIME		

whether or not defendant should enter a change of plea or file motions and to prepare for trial in this matter.

- 3. Specifically, the parties have submitted the methamphetamine in this case for independent examination and analysis by an non-government laboratory. Additional time is needed for defense counsel to review the results of this analysis when available. This independent examination and analysis is necessary for the defense to effectively prepare for trial in this matter. The parties believe that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny defendants' counsel and defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence and that the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and defendant in a trial within the date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- 4. Thus, the parties respectfully request that the Court find that the time period from November 30, 2010, to January 26, 2011, is excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account due diligence.

T8	preparation for that, taking into account due difference.	
19	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
20		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
21		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
22	Dated: November 29, 2010	GARTH HIRE
23		Assistant United States Attorney
24		Attorney for United States of America
25	Dated: November 29, 2010	/s/
26		LYNN KESLAR
27		Attorney for Defendant Juan Octaviano Lopez

1 2 3 Dated: November 8, 2010 RANDALL KNOX 4 Attorney for Defendant 5 Glendy Gomez 6 7 **[PROPOSED]** ORDER 8 FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED THAT: 9 1. The currently scheduled November 30, 2010, status conference hearing is 10 vacated. A status conference hearing is now scheduled for 10:00 a.m. on January 26, 2011. 11 2. The time period from November 30, 2010, to January 26, 2011, is deemed 12 excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (B)(iv) because it results from a continuance 13 granted by the Court at the defendants' request and on the basis of the Court's finding that the 14 ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the 15 defendants in a speedy trial and because failure to grant the continuance would unreasonably 16 deny defense counsel the time necessary for effective preparation for trial, taking into account 17 due diligence. 18 19 DATED: November 30, 2010 20 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28